Conservative Substitution of Fractured Ceramic Onlays Using Self-Adhesive Cement: Case Report

Abstract

The advances in bonding of ceramics and self-adhesive resin cements to tooth structure make this treatment a feasible alternative to restore posterior teeth. This case report described the removal of two fractured onlays and the fabrication of new lithium disilicate onlays cemented with self-adhesive resin cement. The rationale for various choices in this treatment protocol is detailed with reference to the pertinent literature. It is concluded that the clinical success of the technique depends on the correct identification of the case for which this treatment is appropriate and on the successful execution of the clinical steps involved.
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1 Introduction

Since the invention of the indirect dental restoration, there has always been a need to cement them into the tooth. Just as the restorative materials have continually evolved, so have the cements. The resin cements have long been valued for their high retentive strengths, resistance to wear, and insolubility. This makes them ideal for short clinical preparations with limited retention. One factor that has discouraged greater use of resin cements has been the need to etch the preparation and apply a separate adhesive or bonding agent. This requirement helps achieve desirable bond strengths, but can occasionally lead to postoperative sensitivity.

The concern with resin cementation is its general intolerance to moisture. When a dry field is not present, conventional resin cements are usually contraindicated. The latest advancement in luting cements has been the need to etch the preparation and apply a separate adhesive or bonding agent. This requirement helps achieve desirable bond strengths, but can occasionally lead to postoperative sensitivity.

The concern with resin cementation is its general intolerance to moisture. When a dry field is not present, conventional resin cements are usually contraindicated. The latest advancement in luting cements has been referred to as a self-adhesive resin cement.

These materials have been developed to take advantage of some of the best properties of modern resin cements with the added advantages of improved tolerance to moisture and not requiring a separate adhesive application. Even though bond strengths appear to be less than conventional resin cements (the ones that require a separate adhesive), 18% of dentists now report using self-adhesive resin cements on a regular basis.

They can be used for metal-containing crowns and bridges including porcelain-fused-to-metal (PFM) and full-cast metal, as well as ceramic and metal inlays and onlays. In fact, they can be used in virtually any clinical cementation situation except possibly bonding porcelain laminates or resin-bonded bridges.

Therefore, this report aims to present an esthetic approach to reestablishing the esthetics and balancing the smile with self-adhesive resin cements for onlays ceramics as the restorative strategy.

2 Case Report

A 46-year-old woman, housewife, sought for treatment to recover an indirect restoration and a pigmentation in some teeth to improve their appearance (Figure 1a, 1b).

After the clinical and radiographic evaluations, the presence of fractured ceramic restorations in the lower right first molar (Figure 1c, 1e) and the lower left second molar was identified (Figure 1d, 1f). After treatment, modalities were discussed with the patient. The decision was to prepare the lower left first molar and the lower left second molar with lithium disilicate ceramic to reestablish the teeth size and shape.
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**Figure 1** - 1a) frontal view, 1b) lower arch, 1c) fractured lower right first molar, 1d) fractured lower left second molar, 1e) radiograph of fractured lower right first molar, 1f) radiograph of fractured lower left second molar

To begin the treatment, the adequacy of the oral environment was performed by supra-gingival scaling of calculus with periodontal curettes and root planing with rubber cups at low rotation and water-pumice paste. Dental arches were molded with alginate (Hydrogum, Zhermack Clinical, Italy) to obtain the dental cast (Herodent type III, Coltene, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) (Figure 2a, 2b). The impressions with silicone (Zetaplus, Zhermack, Labordental, São Paulo, Brazil) (Figure 2c) were obtained and used for the provisional restorations.

**Figure 2** - 2a) upper arch model, 2b) lower arch model, 2c) temporary molding of the models

Fractured ceramic removals for onlay must be performed with calibrated spherical diamond burs (KG Sorensen, São Paulo, Brazil) (Figure 3a, 3b). For the proximal area, metal sandpaper (KG Sorensen) was used to create a separation between the teeth to facilitate the definition of the proximal margin. Finally, all angles were rounded, and an extrafine, tapered-cylinder, as well as a round-end diamond burr (KG Sorensen) (Figure 3c, 3d) were used to smoothen the prepared surface (Figure 3e).

**Figure 3** - 3a) removal of fractured ceramic with spherical drill, 3b) preparation after removal of fractured restoration, 3c) removed ceramic fragment, 3d) drills used for refinement of the preparation, 3e) preparation after refinement

Source: The authors.
For the impression technique, two retraction cords (Ultrapack, Ultradent Products, São Paulo, Brazil) of different diameters were placed in the gingival sulcus, and a complete impression with a double viscosity polyvinyl siloxane material was made after the removal of the second cord (Figure 4a, 4b). The first retraction cord was also removed, and the evaluation of the tooth color was performed by using a spectrophotometer (Easyshade, Vident, Brea, CA, USA) (Figure 4c), in the same position by a silicon guide (Express XT, 3M ESPE, Sumaré, SP, Brazil) with an opening to the buccal surface (Figure 4d). This is an important step in determining the results, requiring effective communication between the practitioner and the technician.

Figure 4 - 4a) Retractor wire positioned for molding the preparation, 4b) Silicone addition in low viscosity used for molding, 4c) Spectrophotometer (Easyshade, Vident, Brea, CA, USA), 4d) Spectrophotometer positioned with silicone guide

Finally, provisional restorations were made with an acrylic resin (Vipi, Dental Vipi, Pirassununga, Brazil) (Figure 5a, 5b). The maxillary and mandibular casts were sent to the dental technician for pouring, creation of dies, and fabrication of lithium disilicate (Emax Esthetic, Ivoclar Vivadent, São Paulo, Brazil) (Figures 6a, 6b, 6c).

Figure 5 - 5a) occlusal vision of the temporary restoration, 5b) frontal view of the temporary restoration

Once the onlays were received from the technician, they were carefully positioned to verify marginal adaptation, alignment, shape, and color, with completely satisfactory results (7a, 7b). The teeth were subjected to a prophylaxis with pumice and rubber cup, a rinsing, and a careful drying (Figure 8a, 8b). The conditioning of internal surfaces of the restorations was performed through the application of 10% hydrofluoric acid for 1 minute (Condac Porcelana, FGM Products) (Figure 9a), washing under running water and (Figure 9b) air-drying (Figure 9c); afterward, a one-bottle bonding system (Single Bond, 3M ESPE) was applied, and the surface was gently air-dried (Figure 9d). The luting of the onlays was performed for each tooth individually, following the same sequence.

Figure 7 - 7a) evaluation of the ceramic onlay in plaster model, 7b) clinical evaluation of the ceramic onlay
The luting agent used in this case was self-adhesive resin cement RelyX U200 A2 (3M ESPE, São Paulo, Brazil) (Figure 10a), which was applied in the internal surface of the onlay (Figure 10b), and then the onlay was positioned (Figure 10c). After light curing for five seconds, excess cement was removed using manual instruments (Figure 10d, 10e), and the onlay was once more light-cured at the facial and lingual sides for 40 seconds (Figure 10f). After the placement of all the onlay, finishing and polishing of the cement line were performed with silicone rubber (Resin Finish, Microdont) (Figure 11a, 11b, 11c) and silicon carbide brush (Jiffy Brush, Ultradent) (Figure 11d). A final adjustment of the ceramic was performed with FF diamond burs (KG Sorensen) in the areas marked with graphite (Figure 11e). At adjusted surfaces, polishing was performed to avoid additional wear (Figure 11f). The cervical margins were verified, and the excess cement was removed with sandpaper strips. The result can be seen immediately in a clinical follow-up at 1 month with intraoral aspects of the patient (Figure 12a, 12b, 12c, 12d).
2.1 Discussion

Choosing the correct cementation system is extremely important to the success and longevity of indirect restorative treatment. Thus, the luting cement selection criteria should be based on esthetics, adequate marginal sealing, compressive and flexural strength, adhesive strength and ease of handling. In addition, a durable bonding between dental tissues and ceramic pieces is crucial for the success of restorations that do not have retentive properties, such as those with inlays and onlays.

Therefore, the self-adhesive cements arose, fact which represents an alternative to traditional cements. These cements do not require acid conditioning or prior washing, nor do they use primers of hydrophobic adhesives before cementation. It reduces the technique sensitivity and facilitates its clinical use. Although some studies have shown that self-adhesive cements have a lower adhesion capacity due to poor interaction with the substrate and non-formation of the hybrid layer, these studies do not demonstrate differences in the adhesive strength of the self-adhesive resin cement compared with the conventional dual resin cement.

In this case report, the failure was the fracture of the old ceramic pieces due to a probable adhesive failure, since clinical failures can occur when there is no adequate adhesion of the restoration to the tooth, inducing the fracture of the ceramic restorations.

The main causes of adhesive failure refer to loss of retention of the part with the dental substrate and marginal degradation. Thus, for substitution of the fractured onlay, lithium disilicate ceramics was chosen because it exhibits higher bond strength to the resin cement than other types of ceramic. In addition, its structure prevents the propagation of fractures, due to the addition of lithium disilicate crystals (approximately 60% by volume). This composition promotes greater flexural strength and fracture resistance due to the spatial configuration of its crystalline phase.

Moreover, RelyX U200 self-adhesive cement was selected as a strategy for cementing lithium disilicate onlay. The use of self-adhesive cements has been intensely studied and explored in the clinical sense. The adhesive properties of the cements can increase the resistance to fracture of the ceramic pieces, because a correct adhesion between the dental substrate and the ceramic allows the distribution of tension in the dental restoration, which improves the resistance and prevents the propagation of cracks, besides increasing the clinical longevity of the piece.

The action mechanism of self-adhesive resin cements is based on the chelation of calcium ions by the acidic groups, producing chemical adhesion with the hydroxyapatite of the dental structure. The resin cement used in this case presents adhesion to the dental substrate by the micromechanical retention mechanism and chemical interaction among the acidic monomers (methacrylate phosphoric ester) of the cement and the hydroxyapatite of the substrate. In addition, the content of 10% fluoride leads to speculation that the cement has less nanoinfiltration, and this may be related to the repulsion effect of water fluoride ions, which can reduce the residual water of the bonding interface and, thus, improve the cement resistance to the hydrolytic degradation.

In the case described, both onlays were cemented predominantly on the dentin substrate. Studies have indicated that adhesion to dentin using contemporary adhesive resin cements, such as RelyX U200, is shown to be more stable than with previous cements and is directly related to the durability of indirect restorations, which are more reliable and predictable.

Since the clinical evidence on the use of self-adhesive cements luting in the lithium disilicate onlays is limited, especially regarding clinical studies, we intend to provide evidence and clinical follow-up in the sector with this case report.

4 Conclusion

It is concluded that self-adhesive resin cement is satisfactory and suitable for cementation of ceramic onlays based on lithium disilicate. Self-adhesive resin cement is a practical and feasible alternative to cementing ceramic tiles.
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